

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,739	03/22/2005	Francois Seneschal	FR02 0098 US	6985
24738	7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION			CHAN, RICHARD	
	NTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2618	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/528,739	SENESCHAL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Richard Chan	2618		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	l <u>arch 2005</u> .			
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/528,739

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 10 is claiming a computer program comprising instruction codes for executing one or a plurality of steps without defining it on a computer readable type medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 4,573,208) in view of Kinkel (US 4,855,689).

With respect to claim 1, Jacobs discloses the device Fig.4 for determining the level of an input signal from antenna 132 intended to be applied to a receiving system, said receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers 134

Page 3

and 148, a selective filter 140, a mixer 136, said receiving system being intended to deliver an output signal from output of amplifier 150, said device comprising: measuring means 210 for measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel, means 210 for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers 134 and 148 in said given frequency channel, however Jacobs does not specifically disclose means for determining the real gain of said selective filter in said given frequency channel, calculation means for deriving the level of the input signal from the level of the output signal, the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from the real gain of said selective filter.

The Kinkel reference however discloses wherein filter 69 in Fig.2 employs an operational amplifier 75, which controls the gain of the filtered signal. (Col.6 lines 40-55)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the filter with an amplifier to control the gain of the filtered signal through the receiver system as disclosed by Jacob in order to obtain the correct gain for incoming signal.

With respect to claim 2, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 1, Kinkel continues to disclose where the real gain of said selective filter is given by a set of equations defined by a set of coefficients depending on said frequency channel. The resistors and capacitors set the coefficients depending on their value the frequency of the incoming signal, which than defines the gain of the amplifier 75. (Col.6 lines 40-55) and Claim 6

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 3, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 2, Jacobs continues to disclose the device comprising additional means 174 for averaging the level of said output signal. Col.7 lines 38-60

With respect to claim 7, Jacobs discloses the method for determining the level of an input signal from antenna 132 intended to be applied to a receiving system Fig.4 said receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers 134 and 148, a selective filter 140, a mixer 136, said receiving system being intended to deliver an output signal from amplifier 150, said method comprising: a measuring step for measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel, a processing step 210 for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers 134 and 148 in said given frequency channel, a first calculation step (204) for determining the real gain (G2) of said selective filter 140 in said given frequency channel, however Jacobs does not specifically disclose a second calculation step for deriving the level of the input signal from the level of the output signal, from the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from the real gain of said selective filter.

The Kinkel reference however discloses wherein filter 69 in Fig.2 employs an operational amplifier 75, which controls the gain of the filtered signal. (Col.6 lines 40-55)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the filter with an amplifier to control the gain of the filtered signal through the receiver system as disclosed by Jacob in order to obtain the correct gain for incoming signal.

With respect to claim 8, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the receiving box for multimedia signals, or modem comprising a device as claimed in claim 1.

Page 5

With respect to claim 9, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the signal generated by the method as claimed in claim 7, said signal indicating the level of the input signal with comparator 210.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 4,573,208) and Kinkel (US 4,855,689) in view of Narumi (US 6,118,811).

With respect to claim 4, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 3, however neither references specifically discloses the device comprising additional means for rounding the level of said input signal to the nearest half value.

However Narumi discloses the device 122 comprising additional means for rounding the level of said input signal to the nearest half value. Fig.1

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an analog to digital converter as disclosed by Narumi with the device that determines the input signal as disclosed by Jacobs and Kinkel combined in order to obtain a digital signal of the reading of the analog input signal which can than be processed by a DSP.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,739 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 5, Jacobs, Kinkel, and Narumi combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 4, Kinkel continues to disclose where the real gain of said set of amplifiers is given by a look-up table with two inputs TABLE 1 Col.13, a first input corresponding to said given frequency channel, a second input corresponding to the nominal gain of said amplifiers.

With respect to claim 6, Jacobs, Kinkel, and Narumi combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 5, Kinkel continues to disclose where said measuring means comprise arranged in series a selective filter 36 for selecting said given frequency channel, a logarithmic detector 102, Fig.3 and an analog-to-digital converter 122 for delivering the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,739

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chan Art Division 2618

09/13/06 12- C- Anthun In always 9/15/06 QUOCHIEN B. VUONG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7